

1
2
3
4 MICHAEL ALAN BONAZZA,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 MUFG UNION BANK, et al.,
8 Defendants.
9

10 Case No. 23-cv-01161-JCS
11

**12 ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENTS
13 AND INSTRUCTING CLERK TO
14 REJECT FILINGS FROM MR.
15 BONAZZA PENDING FURTHER
16 ORDER OF THE COURT**

17 Re: Dkt. Nos. 80, 81
18

19 In light of Plaintiff's repeated filing of unauthorized documents in this case, the Court has
20 ordered that any document Plaintiff files must cite to one of the following sources of authority
21 permitting the filing: 1) a rule of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (e.g., Rule 12(b)(6), Rule
22 56); 2) a rule of the Northern District of California's Civil Local Rules (e.g. Civil Local Rule 7,
23 setting deadlines for motions and responsive briefs); or 3) an Order of this Court (e.g. a case
24 management order, such as docket no. 35, requiring that the parties file a case management
25 statement). See dkt. no. 66.

26 Docket nos. 80 and 81 are captioned as summary judgment motions and include a
27 reference to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, but the documents do not comport
28 with the standards governing summary judgment motion. These standards were explained to
Plaintiff in the Court's July 3, 2023 Notice Regarding Summary Judgment Motions, dkt. no. 62.
In particular, docket no. 80 includes requests for discovery (which are improper for the reasons set
forth in the Court's July 18, 2023 Order), followed by questions and ruminations about the merits
of Plaintiff's case; docket no. 81 is a three-page documents with further discussion of the merits of
Plaintiff's case. Neither document asserts that the undisputed facts of the case establish that

1 Plaintiff is entitled to prevail on any of his claims as a matter of law. Therefore, the Court
2 concludes that docket nos. 80 and 81 are not authorized under any of the sources of authority set
3 forth in docket no, 66. Accordingly, docket nos. 80 and 81 are STRICKEN.

4 Plaintiff has ignored the Court's repeated warnings and continues to engage in abusive
5 litigation tactics, filing several improper documents and motions every day that must be manually
6 docketed by the Clerk's Office and reviewed by the Court. These filings are imposing a
7 significant burden on Defendant and the Court, and this burden is at the expense of other litigants
8 with pending cases before the undersigned. **Therefore, pending further order of the Court, no**
9 **further filings from Plaintiff will be accepted from Plaintiff except ONE case management**
10 **statement prior to the September 15, 2023 scheduled case management conference.** At the
11 September 15, 2023 Case Management Conference, the Court will address Plaintiff's misconduct
12 and what filings will be accepted from him going forward.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14
15 Dated: July 28, 2023

16 
17 JOSEPH C. SPERO
United States Magistrate Judge